

From: Swift, Heather
To: [Wynn, Todd](#)
Cc: [Rigas, Laura](#); [Magallanes, Downey](#); [Newell, Russell](#); [John Tanner](#); [John Bockmier](#); [Daniel Jorjani](#); [Todd Willens](#); [Williams, Timothy](#); [Chambers, Micah](#)
Subject: Re: DRAFT -- Monuments Talking Points and Myth vs. Fact
Date: Thursday, November 30, 2017 2:50:49 PM
Attachments: [TALKING POINTS FOR MONUMENT REVIEW HS.docx](#)

My edits in track changes.

-
Heather Swift
Department of the Interior
@DOIPressSec
Heather_Swift@ios.doi.gov | Interior_Press@ios.doi.gov

On Thu, Nov 30, 2017 at 2:45 PM, Wynn, Todd <todd_wynn@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
(b) (5) DPP [REDACTED]. Nothing to add. Please let me know when it is finished and I can share.

On Thu, Nov 30, 2017 at 2:26 PM, Swift, Heather <heather_swift@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
I'm sending my version in a few if you want to work off mine.

-
Heather Swift
Department of the Interior
@DOIPressSec
Heather_Swift@ios.doi.gov | Interior_Press@ios.doi.gov

On Thu, Nov 30, 2017 at 2:11 PM, Rigas, Laura <laura_rigas@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Hi all --

Attached please find the talking points and myth vs. fact sheet for the Monument Review. Please note that they are at their max word length (to keep them on 2 and 1 pages, respectively), so let me know what should come out if you are adding.

Also, they do not include the Utah-specific information, which will be announced on Monday. This is a great backgrounder for the groups who will be part of the stakeholder call tomorrow as well as any other friends you deem appropriate.

Let me know by COB tonight if you have any edits as we need to start sending them around tomorrow. Please do NOT share this version yet.

Thank you,
L

*Laura Keehner Rigas
Communications Director*

*U.S. Department of the Interior
(202) 897-7022 cell
@Interior*

--

Todd M. Wynn
Director of the Office of Intergovernmental and External Affairs
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
Desk: (202) 208-6649
Cell: (202) 897-7269

NOTE: *Every email I send or receive is subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act.*

TALKING POINTS FOR ANTIQUITIES ACT/MONUMENT REVIEW

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Restoring-Correcting Past Overreach

Increasing Public Access

Giving Local Communities a Voice by Restoring Traditional Uses

Continuing Protection Where Warranted

Key points:

- The President is righting past overreach. The Act requires only objects of significance are designated, within the smallest area compatible, and we are going to follow the law.
- The Trump Administration is increasing the public access that has been restricted by monument designations.
- The Trump Administration is making sure local communities have a voice by restoring traditional “multiple use” activities on these lands.
 - This will increase economic competitiveness, especially in rural communities, by allowing grazing, commercial fishing, logging, and in some cases, mineral development.
- The Trump Administration is continuing to protect public land, including keeping parts of monuments to protect objects of significance within the smallest areas compatible.
 - The Trump Administration is *not* going to drill in public parks
 - The Trump Administration is *not* going to sell public lands
 - The Trump Administration *will* protect objects in the “smallest area compatible” with the proper care of the objects to be protected.

(b)(5) DPP

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

(b)(5) DPP

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34))

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34))

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34))

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: List Paragraph

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34))

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.04"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34))

Formatted

[...]

Formatted

[...]

Formatted

[...]

Formatted

[...]

Monuments and Sportsmen:

- Access to public lands is absolutely vital to hunting and fishing. It's one thing to say land is "public land" however if the land is physically inaccessible or certain uses are banned, problems arise.
- Many monument proclamations specify that hunting and fishing will be permitted

within the monument, however it is common for monument designations to prevent construction of future roads or management plans allow many roads to fall into disrepair, making the land inaccessible for hunting.

- Recently, proclamations have removed guarantees for recreational access and hunting from management plans as wilderness designations have become a favorite tool of environmentalists.
- Anti-hunting groups often push for monument designations and simultaneously plan to lobby for bans on hunting and shooting access during the management plan process which occurs after designation.
- Further, these groups hope that these National Monuments eventually become National Parks. There several examples of National Parks that were first designated as monuments.

Background on the Antiquities Act:

- The Antiquities Act calls for the President to designate objects such as “historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, and other objects of historic or scientific interest.
- The Act mandates that the limits of the parcels of land reserved as National Monuments “shall be confined to the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected”, and be designated on federal land.
- Despite this clear directive, objects have been extended to include landscape areas, biodiversity, view sheds, and 'smallest area' has become the exception and not the rule.
- Objects have oftentimes been selected only to fill in pre-identified boundaries that mirror failed Congressional attempts to designate areas under protective designations.
- Monument boundaries often encompass or are adjacent to private land, and in one case a monument expansion contained 38% private land within the external boundary.
- The most controversial monuments were designated or expanded in the waning days of a presidency after partisan efforts to designate the land stalled in Congress. This is a clear violation of the will of the People and overuse of executive power.
- Presidents have reduced the size of monuments around 19 times in the past. The most significant reduction was in 1915 under President Woodrow Wilson, when he halved Mount Olympus National Monument (it has since become a National Park).
- On April 26, 2017, President Donald J. Trump signed Executive Order 13792, entitled “Review of Designations under the Antiquities Act.”
- President Trump’s executive order limited the review to monuments designated after January 1, 1996 and over 100,000 acres in size, or monuments that the Secretary deems to have been created without adequate public input.
- The order directed the Secretary to submit an interim report on Bears Ears National Monument within 45 days, which was delivered to the White House on June 10, 2017.
- The order directed the Secretary to submit a report on all other monuments under review within 120 days, August 24, 2017.

(b) (5) DPP

Background on the Monument Review Process:

- The Secretary opened up of a formal comment period for the review. This is the first time EVER that a formal comment period was open on regulations.gov for national monuments designated under the Antiquities Act.
- Secretary Zinke has visited eight national monument sites in six states (Bears Ears, Grand Staircase, Northeast Canyons and Seamounts Marine Monument, Katahdin Woods and Waters, Cascade Siskyou, Organ Mountains Desert Peaks, Gold Butte, and Basin and Range). He's held dozens of meetings with Tribal, local and state government officials, local stakeholders, and advocates from conservation, agriculture, tourism, and historic preservation organizations. The Secretary met with people and organizations who represent all sides of the issue. (PS - He traveled to Giant Sequoia and Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monuments before the review)
- Interior also established a formal public comment period for the monument review. This is the first time ever a formal comment period occurred for Antiquities Act-designated National Monuments.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman